

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/712,778	2,778 11/13/2003		Hendrik Willem Meijer	U 014884-0	7412	
140	7590	04/21/2004		EXAMINER		
LADAS &			A, PHI DIEU TRAN			
26 WEST 6 NEW YOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
11211 1010	.,	~~~~	3637			
			DATE MAILED: 04/21/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
. •		10/712,778	MEIJER ET AL.	91
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	<u> </u>
		Phi D A	3637	
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	opears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence addr	ess
A SH THE - Exte afte - If th - If No - Faili Any	MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a ply within the statutory minimum of the d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC te, cause the application to become a	a reply be timely filed  airty (30) days will be considered timely.  DNTHS from the mailing date of this comic  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. & 133).	munication.
Status				
1)⊠ 2a) <u></u> 3)		is action is non-final. ance except for formal ma		nerits is
Diamasi	ion of Claims	Ex parto Quayro, 1000 C.	D. 11, 400 O.O. 210.	
4)⊠ 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.		
Applicat	ion Papers			
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examin The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination.	cepted or b) objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyaction is required if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•		
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document  2. Certified copies of the priority document  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document  application from the International Bureat  See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in ority documents have bee au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National St	age
Attachmer	• •			
2)	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 er No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-1	52)

Application/Control Number: 10/712,778

Art Unit: 3637

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claims are generally narrative and indefinite, failing to conform with current U.S. practice. They appear to be a literal translation into English from a foreign document and are replete with grammatical and idiomatic errors.

The claims are examined as best understood.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-7, 10-15, 20-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wolfensberger (3380768).

Wolfensberger (figure 6) shows an assembly of a coupling profile, a number of pairs of wall elements (74), at least one cover profile (50) for covering the coupling profile, the wall elements to be connected can be coupled in pairs by means of the coupling profile and the cover profile can be coupled with the coupling profile, the wall elements abut each other (through the

Art Unit: 3637

coupling profile), the cover profile fully covers the coupling profile, the cover profile is situated on the other side of the coupling profile than the side of the coupling profile where the wall elements meet, the cover profile extends over the pair of wall elements in a direction perpendicular to the longitudinal direction of the elements, the coupling profile is made of a rigid material, the coupling profile is made of metal (col 6 lines 34-36), the coupling profile at the cover side forms an angle of greater than 1800, the coupling profile is straight, the coupling profile is provided with an outermost recess for accommodating the cover profile and with an inner most recess for accommodating the ends of the parts to be connected, the outermost recess is limited to either side by two outermost legs, the longitudinal edges of the cover profile being provided with respective recesses to fit over the respective outermost legs, the innermost recess being limited to either side by two innermost legs, the ends of the parts to be connected being provided with respective recesses to fit over the respective innermost legs, the outermost legs have a square buckle, the outermost and innermost legs have been arranged perpendicularly to the base surface of the outermost recess and the innermost recess respectively,

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 8-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wolfensberger (3380738).

Application/Control Number: 10/712,778

Art Unit: 3637

Wolfensberger shows all the claimed limitations except for the cover profile and the wall elements are made of wood.

Wolfensberger further discloses the profiles are used to cover cabinets, wall paneling, facing, worktable, mounting frame.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Wolfensberger to show the cover profile and the wall elements are made of wood because having a wood cover profile would provide for an aesthetic appearance at the joint when joining wooden structures together, and having the panel formed of wood would have been an obvious matter of engineering design choice as wood, metal, plastic are well known material for forming panels for different applications.

7. Claims 16-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wolfensberger in view of Hugens (4258519).

Wolfensberger shows all the claimed limitations except for the assembly further comprising a pair of lower edge members, the lower edge members can be coupled in pair by means of the coupling profile, the inner most recess of the coupling profile is adapted for accommodating the ends of the lower edge members, the ends of the lower edge members having recesses to fit over the respective innermost legs, the lower edge members are covered by the cover profile.

Hugens shows an assembly having a pair of lower edge members (10c) that can be coupled in pair by means of the coupling profile, the inner most recess of the coupling profile (22) being adapted for accommodating the ends of the lower edge members, the ends of the

Application/Control Number: 10/712,778

Art Unit: 3637

lower edge members having recesses to fit over the respective innermost legs, the lower edge members are cover by a cover profile (18).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Wolfensberger to show the assembly further comprising a pair of lower edge members, the lower edge members can be coupled in pair by means of the coupling profile, the inner most recess of the coupling profile is adapted for accommodating the ends of the lower edge members, the ends of the lower edge members having recesses to fit over the respective innermost legs, the lower edge members are covered by the cover profile as taught by Hugens because it would enable the supporting the coupling profile from the bottom, and provide for a tight seal at the bottom of the structure.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The prior art shows different joint assembly designs.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phi D A whose telephone number is 703-306-9136. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lanna Mai can be reached on 703-308-2486. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3637

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Phi Dieu Tran Ā

4/16/04